Shape-preserving beam transmission through non-Hermitian disordered lattices
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We investigate the propagation of Gaussian beams through optical waveguide lattices characterized by correlated non-Hermitian disorder. In the framework of coupled mode theory, we demonstrate how the imaginary part of the refractive index needs to be adjusted to achieve perfect beam transmission, despite the presence of disorder. Remarkably, the effects of both diagonal and off-diagonal disorder in the waveguides and their couplings can be efficiently eliminated by our non-Hermitian design. Waveguide arrays thus provide an ideal platform for the experimental realization of non-Hermitian phenomena in the context of discrete photonics.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wave propagation through complex disordered media is a topic of intense research interest due to its immediate physical and technological relevance. Generally speaking, the presence of disorder leads to fundamental phenomena such as multiple scattering and Anderson localization, which have been extensively studied for both quantum and classical waves [1–6]. A direct manifestation of such wave scattering is the highly complex intensity pattern that is formed due to multipath interference. With the advent of spatial light modulators and wavefront shaping techniques, interest has been growing in controlling such scattering patterns of waves propagating in complex media, for various novel applications in imaging and detection establishing the area of disordered photonics [7–10]. A great challenge is to overcome the detrimental effects of multiple scattering to achieve enhanced transmission through such a complex medium of disorder. A variety of experimental methods has been recently proposed [11–17]. However, most of these techniques rely on the availability of transmission resonances of the random medium and as a result require sophisticated wavefront shaping methods and adaptive imaging iteration algorithms. An alternative strategy would be to modify the scattering medium, instead of the incoming optical beam. Along these lines, one could naively expect that already the inclusion of gain inside the scattering medium will be sufficient to increase the transmission. Unfortunately, however, this is not typically the case and more sophisticated methods are required to overcome and control the scattering phenomena in inhomogeneous environments.

In an other direction, the study of optical structures characterized by amplification (gain) and dissipation (loss) has led to the development of a new research field, that of non-Hermitian photonics [18–25]. In particular, the introduction of the concepts of parity-time (PT) symmetry [26–28] and exceptional points [29–34] in optics, where gain and loss can be physically implemented [35–40], triggered a number of theoretical and experimental works, which demonstrated the potential applications of such non-Hermitian systems. The rich behavior and novel features of these structures has led to a plethora of experimental realizations of various optical devices spanning from unidirectional invisibility to broadband wireless power transfer [41–56] and non-Hermitian Anderson localization [57–63].

In the context of non-Hermitian photonics, it was recently demonstrated that it is possible to suppress the effects of localization and thus achieve perfect transmission by considering correlated non-Hermitian disorder. In particular, one can derive a novel class of waves that have constant intensity (CI waves) everywhere in space, even inside the scattering area [64,65]. Such waves exist in guided and scattering media with gain and loss in both one and two spatial dimensions [66–70]. It was also experimentally demonstrated that CI pressure waves are possible in the acoustical domain [71]. The existing works focus on excitation of CI waves by plane waves and so far there is no experimental observation in the optical domain. Therefore, in this work we will go beyond CI waves (that have infinite extent) and will show that it is also possible to obtain reflectionless wave packets that propagate through disordered environments in a similar fashion. More specifically, we will derive the correlated non-Hermitian disorder that is crucial for finite beams to be perfectly transmitted. The physical system that we are going to investigate is that of coupled paraxial waveguide arrays. Both disorder and gain and loss can be implemented in this type of versatile integrated platform, which is ideal for controlled optical experiments. Diagonal, as well as off-diagonal disorder, in the complex refractive index and the coupling coefficients, respectively, will be systematically examined in 1 + 1-dimensional lattices. The robustness of such an effect and the relations of these wave packets to CI waves will be studied in detail.

II. DISORDERED WAVEGUIDE ARRAY

We begin our analysis by considering optical wave propagation in non-Hermitian disordered waveguides. This model
is based on coupled mode theory and can be considered a non-Hermitian version of the Anderson tight-binding model [5]. In particular, we consider a waveguide lattice of evanescently coupled waveguides along the x-transverse direction. The light propagates along the z-longitudinal direction and is described by the following normalized paraxial coupled equations:

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial z} + c_j \psi_{j+1} + c_{j-1} \psi_{j-1} + \epsilon_j \psi_j = 0,$$

where $\psi_j$, $\epsilon_j$ are the modal field amplitude and the propagation constant (which plays the role of the on-site energy) at the $j$th waveguide site, respectively, $c_j$ is the corresponding coupling coefficient between nearest neighbors (here we assume that $c_{j+1-j} = c_{j-j+1} \equiv c_j$).

The total lattice consists of three different sublattices, two periodic ones in the asymptotic regions and a disordered one in the middle. More specifically, for $j < 1$ and $j > M$ we assume two semi-infinite periodic sublattices, namely,

$$\epsilon_j = 0, \quad c_j = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad j < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad j > M. \quad (2)$$

In the middle region, $1 \leq j \leq M$, our lattice is disordered. The geometry of the problem is graphically depicted in the schematic of Fig. 1. In particular, we examine two different types of disorder: (i) on-site (diagonal) disorder (Sec. V) and (ii) off-diagonal disorder on the coupling coefficients (Sec. VI).

The main focus of our study is to examine if it is possible to suppress the transverse reflection by considering complex correlated disorder. More specifically, we are interested in understanding the effect of non-Hermiticity on the transport of a finite wave packet across the disordered region. When we have Hermitian disorder only ($\epsilon_j$ real) then most of the light is reflected in the transverse direction of the lattice and the propagation of the beam gets distorted. The question we will try to solve is whether the addition of gain and loss in the form of an imaginary part of the diagonal elements $\epsilon_j$ can remedy these detrimental effects altogether.

### III. CONTINUOUS LIMIT AND CI WAVES

Before we continue to the main part of our work, it is beneficial to examine the continuous limit of our discrete problem and the connection of Eq. (1) to CI waves. This investigation is going to provide us with the necessary intuition for the form of the correlated non-Hermitian disorder we have to use. For this purpose we first examine the case of diagonal disorder ($c_j = c = \text{const.}$), which means that disorder exists only on the waveguide channels, such that Eq. (1) now becomes

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial z} + e^1(\psi_{j+1} + \psi_{j-1}) + \epsilon_j \psi_j = 0,$$

where $\epsilon_j$ takes on spatially correlated random values to make the continuum limit meaningful. By applying the gauge transformation $\psi_j = \Psi_j e^{i k z}$ and allowing $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ (see [65] for more details), the above equation in the continuum limit ($\Delta x \to 0$) can be written as

$$i \Psi_x + \Psi_{xx} + V(x) \psi = 0,$$

where $V(x)$ is the disordered potential. In the above Schrödinger-type equation, which describes wave propagation in the paraxial limit, we also assume that we have a plane wave with propagation constant $k_z$ along the $z$ direction: $\Psi = \Phi(x) e^{ik z}$; the equation we obtain is mathematically equivalent to the one-dimensional (1-D) Helmholtz equation.

It has been shown [64] that this equation supports constant intensity solutions if the potential satisfies the following relation:

$$V(x) = |k_x W(x)|^2 - ik_x W'(x) + k_z,$$

where $W$ is an arbitrarily chosen real, smooth function of $x$ and $W' \equiv \frac{dW}{dx}$. In the context of the integrability soliton theory these potentials naturally appear and are sometimes called Wadati potentials [54,72–74]. In the case of Eq. (5) with $x$ a continuous variable, the second-order Helmholtz operator $\hat{H}$ can be factorized [73] as follows:

$$\hat{H} = -\hat{D}^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{D} = -i \sigma_x \partial_x + \sigma_z \kappa - i \sigma_z k_z W(x), \quad (6)$$

with $\hat{D}$ being the (first order) Dirac operator of the generalized Haldane model with imaginary mass, $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$ are the usual Pauli matrices and $\kappa = \sqrt{\kappa}$. In the above expression the Pauli matrices act on the spinor $|\psi\rangle$, where $\Phi_1$ is the real and $\Phi_2$ the imaginary parts of the total field $\Phi \equiv \Phi_1 + i \Phi_2$.

One can easily verify (see [73] for details) that $\hat{D}$ possesses a constant intensity eigenstate [64,65]

$$\Psi(x, z) = \exp \left[ i k_z z + i k_x \int_0^x W(x') dx' \right]$$

as long as the so-called degree [75] of $W$ is zero (i.e., $W$ has the same sign in $\pm \infty$).
IV. DISCRETE CI WAVES FOR DIAGONAL DISORDER

Inspired by the previous paragraph, we will now extend our study to the discrete case by considering the realistic physical model of Fig. 1. Let us assume that instead of an incoming plane wave (continuous case) we have a Bloch wave that propagates from the left sublattice \((j < 1)\) of the form

\[
\psi_j(z) = \exp(ik_cz + ik_xj),
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the lattice constant of the two periodic sublattices and \(k_c\) the Bloch momentum that takes values inside the first Brillouin zone, namely \(-\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \leq k_c < \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\). The propagation constant \(k_x\) in the two periodic sublattices is directly related to the Bloch momentum \(k_c\) through the dispersion relation \(k_c = 2\pi \times \cos(k_x\alpha)\), which defines the band of the lattice (in this section we assume that \(c_j = c = 1\) for simplicity).

On the other hand, the discretization of Eq. (7) leads us to the following ansatz for the \(\psi_j\), which constitutes a discrete CI wave:

\[
\psi_j(z) = \exp \left(i k_c z + i k_x j \sum_{m=1}^{j} W_m \right).
\]

Direct substitution of this ansatz into Eq. (1) leads us to the conclusion that we must consider a non-Hermitian potential, with a real part of the following form:

\[
\epsilon_{R,j} = 2 \cos(k_x\alpha) - \cos(k_x\alpha W_j) - \cos(k_x\alpha W_{j+1})
\]

and a corresponding imaginary part

\[
\epsilon_{I,j} = \sin(k_x\alpha W_j) - \sin(k_x\alpha W_{j+1}).
\]

From Eq. (10), we can see that if \(W_j = 1, \forall j\), then \(\epsilon_j = 0\) and the system becomes periodic (since our system due to its very discreteness by definition possesses an underlying periodicity, the constant value of the on-site energies corresponds physically to a perfectly periodic lattice). If \(W_j\) is random, then the potential takes on also random values around \(2 \cos(k_x\alpha)\). The strength of disorder can be controlled by adjusting the amplitude of \(W_j\).

Another important point is the boundary conditions on the two interfaces of the disordered region at \(j = 1\) and at \(j = M\) (see Fig. 1). In order to achieve a smooth transition from one sublattice to another, the continuity of the \(k_x\) component across the interface is essential. Thus, we need to apply the appropriate boundary conditions for the function \(W\), which are the following perfect transmission boundary conditions [66]:

\[
W_1 = W_M = 1.
\]

We also need to emphasize that the above boundary conditions ensure both that the degree of \(W\) is zero [73,75] and that the average of gain and loss is zero, \(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \epsilon_{I,j} = 0\) (mean reality condition [66]).

V. WADATI WAVE PACKETS FOR DIAGONAL DISORDER

In this section we are going to investigate the main question of our work, which is how to achieve perfect and shape-preserving transmission of an incoming beam through a discrete disordered medium. Our strategy is based on the concept of discrete CI waves that was described in the previous paragraph. However, in the present work and for the sake of being realistic we are employing a Gaussian beam in space (or, equivalently, a Gaussian wave packet in time) instead of a pure Bloch wave. This beam or wave packet has a central wave number corresponding to the discrete CI wave and propagates from the left to the right, starting from the left periodic sublattice. The width of such a beam is denoted with \(\sigma\), and its center is located around some waveguide with index \(j_0 < 1\) and has a specific group velocity. As \(\sigma\) tends to infinity the pure Bloch wave is recaptured. In other words our initial beam can be expressed as

\[
\psi_j(z = 0) = \exp \left[i k_x \alpha j - j_0 - \left(\frac{j - j_0}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right].
\]

Inside the disordered region, we seek finite, constant-width propagating wave packets of the (approximate) form

\[
|\psi_j(z)| = \exp \left[- \left(\frac{j - j_0(z)}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right],
\]

with \(j_0(z) = j_0 + 2 \sin(k_x\alpha) z\).

Since these type of beams exist only for the discrete version of non-Hermitian Wadati potentials, we call these solutions “Wadati wave packets.”

The price we pay for considering a Gaussian beam as our initial condition is an extra limitation. In particular, the whole analysis of the constant intensity waves [Eq. (6)] is based on an incident plane wave (or Bloch wave in our case) which corresponds to \(\sigma\) equal to infinity instead of the finite \(\sigma\) of our Gaussian beam. In other words, the potential we introduced is designed for a single wave number \(k_x\) while our beam is composed of a large number of different wave numbers. The components of the beam which correspond to \(k_x' \neq k_x\) will then be scattered due to the randomness of the potential and distort the pattern of the wave packet. This effect will be sharpened if we increase the amount of the potential’s randomness. As a result, in order for this distortion to be weak enough for our solution to be of the expected form, \(W\) cannot be a totally random function, but a “slowly” varying one. In other words, the jumps from one site to another should not be arbitrarily large, but rather satisfy: \(\Delta W_j = |W_j+1 - W_j| \sim \alpha \ll \sigma, \forall j\). Of course, in the limit of large \(\sigma\), this limitation ceases, as our incident beam now becomes a Bloch wave.

Let us now examine propagation of beams through the Wadati potentials (Fig. 2). We initially consider the Gaussian beam of Eq. (13) impinging on a random Hermitian potential \(\epsilon_R\) of Eq. (10) [Fig. 2(a)] and then include the appropriate imaginary part based on Eq. (11) [Fig. 2(b)]. In Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) the corresponding real and imaginary parts of the potential are depicted, satisfying the above smoothness condition.

As one can see, in the Hermitian case the reflection due to disorder is very strong leading to very low transmission. On the contrary, for the non-Hermitian case the transmission is almost perfect and the Wadati beam or wave packet maintains its transverse form for every value of the propagation distance. Thus by adding the appropriate imaginary part to the real (random) potential, the beam penetrates the disordered region and propagates with (almost) constant peak amplitude. As expected for a finite Gaussian wave packet, it also spreads in its width during propagation.
we have set the required wave number figures above, the part of the potential. (d) The corresponding imaginary part. In all the dashed lines denote the interfaces among the sublattices. (c) Real part of the potential. (d) The corresponding imaginary part. In all the figures above, the $x$ axis represents the waveguide number $j$. Here we have set $M = 50$, $j_0 = -37.5$, and $\sigma = 30$.

We accentuate here that this shape-preserving perfect transmission of the Wadati wave packet is unidirectional. This means that an incident beam from the right sublattice (with $k_r = \pi + k_s$) does not lead us the same results since the time-reversal symmetry of the lattice is broken (due to non-Hermiticity). The transmittance from the right incidence is again 1, as our system is reciprocal, but we also get strong reflection. In order to get the same shape-preserving transmission from the right, we would have to conjugate the potential $\epsilon_j \to \epsilon^*_j$ when injecting from the opposite side.

As we mentioned before, the non-Hermitian potential is by default designed to support a discrete CI wave at a single transverse wave number $k_s$. Therefore an important question is how sensitive is the transmission of the corresponding Wadati wave packet to changes of its central wave number. For this reason, we calculate the power ($P = \sum_j |\psi_j|^2$) transmitted to the right sublattice $P_T$, as well as the corresponding reflected power $P_R$, over the power of the input beam, after the passing of the beam through the disordered region

$$P_T = \frac{P_{\text{transmitted}}}{P_{\text{incident}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} |\psi_j|^2}{P_{\text{incident}}}, \quad (15)$$

$$P_R = \frac{P_{\text{reflected}}}{P_{\text{incident}}} = \frac{\sum_{j=-\infty}^{M} |\psi_j|^2}{P_{\text{incident}}}, \quad (16)$$

as a function of the percentage deviation $\Delta k\%$ between the required wave number $k_r$ and the beam’s wave number $k'_r$: $\Delta k = k'_r - k_r$. The results are shown in Fig. 3 and are averaged over 500 realizations of disorder. Both $P_T$ and $P_R$ exhibit a parabolic behavior, with the peak (dip) located at the expected value of $\Delta k = 0$. In addition, we have to note that we get $P_T \approx 1$ for a wave-number variation $|\Delta k| \leq 10\%$; a result very close to the corresponding one from the continuous case [66].

To have a better physical perspective of our problem, we provide here some indicative order of magnitude estimation of the actual scales for a possible experiment. In particular, the wavelength of the beam is $\lambda_0 \approx 1 \mu m$, the distance between neighboring waveguides is $D \approx 10 \mu m$, while the propagation distance $z$ is normalized over $2k_0n_0D^2$, with $n_0 \approx 3.5$ being the background refractive index of the waveguides and $k_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0}$. Finally, the corresponding continuous potential is $2k_0^2n_0D^2(\Delta n_r + i\Delta n_{rI})$, where $\Delta n$ represents the variation of the waveguide’s refractive index with respect to the background value of $n_0$. Under these conditions, the maximum variation in the real part of the index of refraction is approximately $\Delta n_{rI}^{\text{max}} \approx 10^{-3}$ and the maximum gain (loss) used is $g_{\text{max}} \approx 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

There is a variety of sophisticated experimental techniques used to measure the refractive index modulation and the coupling coefficients of the waveguides in such a disordered lattice. One of these is the femtosecond writing method, which has been widely used in such types of experiments [76,77]. The aforementioned technique estimates the index of refraction by the duration and the power of the pulse, while the coupling constant is determined by measuring the transfer time of the energy from one channel to the other.

VI. OFF-DIAGONAL DISORDER

In this section we will examine whether it is possible to obtain a perfectly transmitting wave packet for the case of random real couplings $c_j$, as encountered when the distance between neighboring waveguides is not the same. Since for this problem the discrete Wadati potential of the previous section does not provide a straightforward solution, a new approach is required.
Substituting the ansatz of Eq. (9) in Eq. (1) once again, with the coupling coefficients $c_j$ being random this time, the obtained potential reads as follows:

$$
\epsilon_{R,j} = 2 \cos(k_x, \alpha) - \cos(k_x, \alpha)(c_j + c_{j-1}),
$$
$$
\epsilon_{I,j} = \sin(k_x, \alpha)(c_j - c_{j-1}).
$$

(17)

Our result implies that, to cope with the randomness in the coupling matrix elements $c_j$, we also need to introduce complex randomness in the potential. The potential given by the relations [Eqs. (10) and (11)] is then modified as follows: while we need to set $W_j = 1$, a factor involving the $c_j$ must be incorporated in the $\cos(k_x, \alpha)$ and $\sin(k_x, \alpha)$ terms of $\epsilon_R$ and $\epsilon_I$, respectively. We also point out here that the mean reality condition $\sum_j \epsilon_{I,j} = 0$ is satisfied for these types of potentials as well.

The propagation of a Gaussian beam across this discrete non-Hermitian potential landscape is depicted in Fig. 4. In particular, we see in Fig. 4(a) that the strong reflection due to disorder leads to almost zero transmission. By considering the appropriate (complex) refractive index modulation the transmission becomes perfect and shape-preserving, with almost zero reflection, as is demonstrated in Fig. 4(b) for one particular realization of disorder.

The coupling coefficient distribution, as well as the corresponding complex potential, are also shown in Fig. 4. We point out here that this case of off-diagonal disorder seems to be more robust than the case of diagonal disorder, meaning that the reflection is even more insignificant than in the results shown in Fig. 2.

Finally, in Fig. 5 we plot the transmitted and reflected power, defined by Eqs. (15) and (16), as a function of the wavelength detuning $\Delta k = k'_c - k_c$. As in Fig. 3, $P_R$ and $P_T$ exhibit again a parabolic behavior. However, here the perfect transmission peak is broadened: $P_T \approx 1$ and $P_R \approx 0$ for $|\Delta k| \leq 20\%$. In addition, the reflected power, contrary to the diagonal disorder case, reaches values up to 0.3. We attribute this behavior to the systematic trapping of light in lossy regions of the lattice, leading to a rapid decay in the beam’s intensity, even though the total gain and loss are equally balanced.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper we propose a systematic methodology to eliminate reflection due to disorder in realistic discrete systems consisting of coupled waveguides. Our strategy is based on an extension of the recently introduced concept of CI waves to realistic discrete systems. In particular, we study the perfect transmission of Gaussian wave packets through random optical lattices in $1+1$ dimensions, which are non-Hermitian, due to the complex index of refraction. In the Hermitian limit, or even when the lattice has only loss or only gain elements, the transmission is low and the field is strongly distorted. However, for non-Hermitian disorder, where the real and the imaginary parts are correlated in the way we describe, almost perfect transmission is achieved. Such an enhanced transmission, despite the strong transverse reflection due to Anderson localization, is based on the extension of CI waves in the discrete domain. Two different cases of on-diagonal (Wadati wave packets) and off-diagonal disorder are thoroughly examined and for both cases a near-perfect and shape-preserving transmission of an incoming Gaussian wave packet is observed. We believe that this systematic study will pave the way for the direct experimental realization of CI waves to integrated photonic waveguide structures. Also extensions of this concept to lasers and coherent perfect absorbers in disordered waveguide lattices should be within immediate reach.
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