Periodic cavity state revivals from atomic frequency combs – Supplemental Material

Matthias Zens,^{1,*} Dmitry O. Krimer,¹ Himadri S. Dhar,² and Stefan Rotter¹

¹Institute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology (TU Wien),

Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10/136, A-1040 Vienna, Austria, EU

²Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400076, India

(Dated: September 6, 2021)

ENERGY SPECTRUM

In this supplementary section, we show how the strong spin-cavity coupling distorts the eigenvalue spectrum of the uniformly coupled frequency comb. Introducing collective spin operators for each subensemble $J_{\mu}^{z} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N'} \sigma_{k}^{z}$ and $J_{\mu}^{\pm} = \sum_{k=1}^{N'} \sigma_{k}^{\pm}$, the Tavis-Hamiltonian given in Eq.(1) of the main text can be rewritten as

$$H = \omega_c a^{\dagger} a + \sum_{\mu} \omega_{\mu} J_{\mu}^z + g_{\mu} \sum_{\mu} (J_{\mu}^+ a + J_{\mu}^- a^{\dagger}), \quad (1)$$

where $\mu = \{-(m-1)/2, ..., (m-1)/2\}, m = 7$ is the number of subensembles, and N' = N/m is the number of spins in each subensemble. In the following, spins inside the same frequency subensemble are described in the collective spin basis $|J_{\mu}, m_{\mu}\rangle = |N'/2, -N'/2 + q_{\mu}\rangle \equiv$ $|q_{\mu}\rangle$, where q_{μ} is the number of excitations in the μ -th subensemble. With the use of

$$J_{\mu}^{z} |J_{\mu}, m_{\mu}\rangle = m_{\mu} |J_{\mu}, m_{\mu}\rangle = (-N'/2 + q_{\mu}) |q_{\mu}\rangle, \quad (2)$$

and

$$J_{\mu}^{\pm} |J_{\mu}, m_{\mu}\rangle = \sqrt{J_{\mu}(J_{\mu} + 1) - m_{\mu}(m_{\mu} \pm 1) |J_{\mu}, m_{\mu}\rangle}$$
$$= \sqrt{N'/2 + N'q_{\mu} - q_{\mu}^{2} \pm (N'/2 - q_{\mu})} |q_{\mu}\rangle,$$
(3)

we can set up the single- and two-excitation subspace for the coupled spin-cavity system. We write the 1 + m basis states of the single-excitation subspace of the combined spin-cavity system as $|1_c\rangle |0_{\mu}\rangle$ and $|0_c\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle$. Here, $|1_c\rangle |0_{\mu}\rangle$ denotes a state with a single excitation in the cavity part and no excitations in the spin ensemble, whereas $|0_c\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle$ denotes m states where the single excitation is in the μ -th subensemble (with all other subensembles unexcited) and no excitations in the cavity. The action of the Hamiltonian (1) in this single-excitation basis is the given by

$$H \left| 1_c \right\rangle \left| 0_\mu \right\rangle = \left(\omega_c - \frac{N'}{2} \omega_\Sigma \right) \left| 1_c \right\rangle \left| 0_\mu \right\rangle + \sum_\mu \Omega_\mu \left| 0_c \right\rangle \left| 1_\mu \right\rangle, \tag{4}$$

$$H \left| 0_c \right\rangle \left| 1_\mu \right\rangle = \left(\omega_\mu - \frac{N'}{2} \omega_\Sigma \right) \left| 0_c \right\rangle \left| 1_\mu \right\rangle + \Omega_\mu \left| 1_c \right\rangle \left| 0_\mu \right\rangle,$$
(5)

with $\omega_{\Sigma} = \sum_{\mu} \omega_{\mu}$.

Using the same notation as above, the basis states of the two-excitation subspace can be written as $|2_c\rangle |0_{\mu}\rangle$, $|1_c\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle$, $|0_c\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle |1_{\nu}\rangle$, $|0_c\rangle |2_{\mu}\rangle$ (in total 1 + m + m (m - 1)/2 + m basis states). The Hamiltonian (1) acting on these states gives

$$H |2_c\rangle |0_{\mu}\rangle = \left(2\omega_c - \frac{N'}{2}\omega_{\Sigma}\right) |2_c\rangle |0_{\mu}\rangle + \sqrt{2}\sum_{\mu}\Omega_{\mu} |1_c\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle, \qquad (6)$$

$$H |1_{c}\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle = \left(\omega_{c} + \omega_{\mu} - \frac{N'}{2}\omega_{\Sigma}\right) |1_{c}\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle + \Omega_{\mu}\sqrt{2} |2_{c}\rangle |0_{\mu}\rangle + \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \Omega_{\nu} |0_{c}\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle |1_{\nu}\rangle + \Omega_{\mu}\sqrt{2 - 2/N'} |0_{c}\rangle |2_{\mu}\rangle, \qquad (7)$$



FIG. 1. (a,b) Energy spectrum of the singe- and twoexcitation subspace of the Tavis-Cummings Hamiltonian (1) as a function of the collective coupling strength Ω_{μ} . Here we consider the simplest case of an equidistant atomic frequency comb structure with $\Delta \omega/2\pi = 40$ MHz uniformly coupled to a single cavity mode. (c,d) Corresponding spacings $\Delta E_i^{(1/2)}$ of neighboring energy levels. The spin-cavity coupling lifts the equidistant energy spectrum of the atomic frequency comb yielding a non-equidistant spectrum for the compound spincavity system.

$$H |0_{c}\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle |1_{\nu}\rangle = \left(\omega_{\mu} + \omega_{\nu} - \frac{N'}{2}\omega_{\Sigma}\right) |0_{c}\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle |1_{\nu}\rangle + \Omega_{\mu} |1_{c}\rangle |1_{\nu}\rangle + \Omega_{\nu} |1_{c}\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle$$
(8)

$$H |0_c\rangle |2_{\mu}\rangle = \left(2\omega_{\mu} - \frac{N'}{2}\omega_{\Sigma}\right) |0_c\rangle |2_{\mu}\rangle + \Omega_{\mu}\sqrt{2 - 2/N'} |1_c\rangle |1_{\mu}\rangle.$$
(9)

With the above equations one can set up the Tavis-Cummings Hamiltonian in the single- and two-excitation basis and solve its eigenvalues numerically. The resulting energy spectrum is presented in Fig. 1 as a function of the coupling strength Ω_{μ} for the simple case of an equidistant frequency comb with $\Delta \omega/2\pi = 40$ MHz and uniform coupling. The strong coupling leads to a normal-mode splitting, which lifts the degeneracy of the cavity mode and the central (resonant) spins. Consequently, the first rung of the energy ladder in the strong coupling regime consists of m + 1 levels instead of m in the uncoupled case. The coupling between the cavity and spin ensemble thereby shifts the energy levels of the spin-cavity system such that they are no longer equidistant for strong coupling Ω_{μ} . In the main text, we show how this drawback of the strong-coupling regime can be overcome by adjusting the individual coupling strengths of the frequency comb.